2 August 1965

Dear Will:

Subject: 25X1

Contract |

In accordance with your request of 29 June 1965 we have prepared the attached fiscal review showing estimated cost to completion of all PARs at a total cost level.

A substantial underrun on PAR 212 has been realized because other projects requiring similar skills were being worked on at the same time and it was possible to use the same materials for both projects.

On the recently combined PARs 202 and 224 we are now predicting an estimated overrun In comparing the estimated cost to complete with our initial estimate we find the overrun to be in the areas of optical fabrication, mechanical design and breadboard fabrication. In the optical fabrication area it was found that difficulties encountered in manufacturing and final adjustment of lenses accounted for the increase. In the mechanical design area:

- 1. It was found necessary to make changes to the negative transport to correct minor tracking trouble which showed up when the sub-assembly was tested.
- The lamphouse design was somewhat more complicated than anticipated and the design cost was underestimated.
- The gate closing mechanism was unsatisfactory as originally designed and it had to be redesigned around a different principle altogether.
- 4. Design of the Lens Focus Barrel Assembly was considerably more complicated than originally predicted. Because of the extremely close tolerances required by the lens formulas instrument shop quality drawings could not be used and more complete documentation had to be provided.

We are only a few weeks away from completion of initial assembly of the

PAGE / of L

Approved For Release 2005/h1/21 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001000030054-0 **Declass Review by NGA.** 

25X1

25X1

AL-35-800050-/

-2-

2 August 1965

breadboard and, therefore, have not stopped work because of the additional cost which would result from such an interruption.

We recommend continuation of the project because we believe it will add a significant new capability to the enlarging art and, therefore, are requesting authorization to continue work at the new estimated total cost level for the combined PARs 202 and 224.

RRW:eb

Copy #1: WJ w/enc. (AL-35-800051-1)

2

1 2	Approved For Release 2005/11/21 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001000030054-0
	the second of th
	D-R-A-F-T
	PLANS AND DEVELOPMENT STAFF
1	POLICY PAPER ON CONTRACT

10 August 1905

but at this time, should not

25X1

25X1

25X1

#### PLANS AND DEVELOPMENT STAFF

POLICY PAPER ON CONTRACT

1. The Plans and Development Staff has completed a review of	
the provisions of the contract and has evaluated the various	
projects proposed and undertaken within the contract. Past relation-	
ship between the two parties has been considered and an evaluation of	
the performance of the has been determined under	25X1
the terms of the contract. It is our opinion that the cost of the	
present program has been too high in relation to the value of the	
end-product. Accordingly, it is recommended that the contract	25X1
be allowed to terminate without renewal before FY-1967; except that	
outstanding PARs be completed with terminal funds.	
2. Subsequent R&D projects involving which would in the past	25X1
have arisen under should now be treated in the same manner as	
that of other projects with other contractors, and processed according	
to the policies of P&DS. The Development Branch should continue to	

3. The P&DS has not over-controlled the monitoring of the PARs, to the contrary -- from a beginning in 1964 with % little monitoring, P&DS technicians added sound monitoring procedures gradually in quality control, costs, reporting, etc. These added "inovations" may have caused representatives to feel they were not trusted, thus some animosity has resulted.

exploit the talents and experience

continue with the present implementation

SECRET

innovate, investigate and propose new methods or devices to further	
the state-of-the-art of collected information from photographic materials;	
imaginative and valuable projects were expected to develop from the	25X1
R&D program. Personal dis lusionment and lack of confidence began to	
grow within the staff of P&DS in the technical qualities of the	25X1
assigned staff and because of the unaccommodation in the administration	1
from time to time throughout 1964 and 1965. It is believed that	1
representatives have sensed this growing discontent and have reacted	
to it. The reaction has been reflected byrepresentative intimations	25X1
that P&DS does not fully trust them, thataction underis really	25X1
an accommodation on their part, that P&DS is tying their hands with	
added monitoring methods and that there is a too-strict "customer" role	
played by NPIC that is not considered to be under the terms of the	25X1
contract.	
5. The provisions of the contract and the philosophy upon	
which the contract is based, are considered sound; the provisions of	
the contract were not ideally followed fully by either party from the	
outset.	
6. representatives involved with should be	25X1
notified of the decision to terminate the contract; at that time all	
care should be taken not to inhibit their initiative to originate future	
projects. The philosophy of should still apply in the	
methods and devices in the photographic field could originate from either	
the customer or the prospective contractor.	

7. The above statements should not be construed as forming an indictment against the contract and the principles upon which is based. The Plans and Development Staff believes that future use of this type of contract, with a number of competing contractors under at established monitoring system, will provide imaginative and valuable results in broader technological areas at less cost.

25X

SECRET

10 August 1965

Excluded into autometic down-ground and framed leading

JUSTIFICATION STATEMENTS TO SUPPORT THE TERMINATION OF CONTRACT	25X1
1. The contract although sound in theory has been equivical in	
practice. Both parties have interpreted their own terms to fit their	
own philosophy. It is apparent to P&DS that is not satisfied	25X1
(perhaps annoyed) with the monitoring system used by P&DS. Added	
measures of checking on by the technical representatives was not	
envisioned by them. Obviously wishes a freer hand in the development	25X1
of a project within the bounds they wish more latitude in	25X1
expending funds and do not wish to be questioned in detail about costs	
of a given project.	
2. If newly nominated PAR's were accepted by P&DS during FY-1966	
and 1967, a slice of the R&D budget would have to be provided to	
support thereby reducing the funds for other contracts.	
3. The comprehension and ground rules for have not at times	25X1
been considered the same, for example, the concept according	25X1
to P&DS calls for fundamentally basic research with less emphasis on	
design and engineering; this has not always been so on the part	25X1
4. In opinions of P&DS staff personnel, most completed and active	
PARs are considered relatively expensive comparing cost with end-product.	
The end-product may have been less costly through competitive contracting.	
receives favored and exclusive consideration under	25X1
	20/(1

SECRET
Approved For Release 2005/11/21 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001000030054-0

5. One PAR was approved and accepted in 1965. Original projects	
since 1963 have either been terminated, completed or are	
in final stages of completion. The contract itself, although renewed	
to allow for completion of certain projects, is in fact practically dead.	
6. The dissolution of the between OSA and would remove	25X1
these problem areas; a change could eliminate any animosities that may	
heteroon monrocentatives of the two parties of the contract.	

6 August 1965

AL ontract

#### STATUS OF PARS MAY 1963 - AUGUST 1965

Total considered	39
Total assigned	25
PARs not developed PARs terminated after authorization PARs rejected PARs being prepared PARs completed PARs still active	14 10 1 12 8

Total estimated cost Additional funds required (approx.)

Total Estimated cost of

- \* Total authorized expenditures FY-64, FY-65 appropriations
- \* Nine PARs were either terminated prior to completion of expenditures, or completed at less than the original authorization. See details on Financial Standing sheet.

25X1

25X1

FINANCIAL STANDING - MAY 1963 TO AUGUST 1965

	PAR	DESCRIPTION	STATUS	25 CMMENTS
	201	Travel and Liaison	Active	
	202	Briefing Print Enlarger	Active	To cost aboutadditional. See PAR 224.
	203	Rapid Access Printer	Terminated	
	204	Contact Chip Printer	Terminated	Contractor did not meet the assigned concept.
	205	Precision 4X Enlarger	Terminated	Contractor did not meet the assigned concept.
	206 _	Reversal Processing Study	Completed	Fairly good report
g her	207	Contact Printer Study	Completed + 5,200	Worthwhile report but not far enough advanced.
	208	Non-Elec. Image Enhancement	Rejected	
	209	Phosphor Viewer	Terminated	Did not meet with required standard
	210	Laminated Slides	Completed	Fairly good equipment - proto-
	211	Processing Effects Study	Completed	type only. Information received not applicable to NPIC procedures.
	212	Color Acq. System Review	Completed	

Approved For Release 2065/11/21 GIA-RBD 8B04770A064600036

			33	
PAR	DESCRIPTION	STATUS		25X1 COMMENTS
213	Color Reprod. Review	Completed		Useable information
214	Reversal Processor	Completed		Meets requirement but high cost.
215	24-Inch Paper Processor	Completed		Meets requirement but high cost.
216	Laser Photographic Exposure	Completed		
217	Optimization of Lasers	Active		
218	Autofocus Systems	Not developed		
219	Opt. vs Contact Ptg. 1:1	Not developed		
220	Static Elec. Hold-Down	Rejected		
221	Lens Bench Manual	Rejected		
_ 222	Auto Stereo Regstrn System	Completed		Did not meet expected
223	Monochr. Lens System	Rejected		
<b>224</b>	3X - 15X Enlarger	Active		To cost about additional. See PAR 202.
225	Micro-D Training Program	Completed		Rehash of known information in Microdensitrometry.
226	Edge Trace Meas., Micro-D	Completed .		Returned to EB-1492.
227	Color Viewer	Preparing		

PAR	DESCRIPTION	STATUS	T.E.C.	AUTH. EXP.	COMMENTS
228	Vectograph Study	Not developed			
229	Optical Design Film Viewer	Not developed			
230	10X Color Lens	Rejected			Cost involved too high
231	10-20-40X Color Lamphouse	Rejected			Cost involved too high
232	Automated Edge Trace Device	Rejected			Belonged to acquisition phase 25X1
233	Zoom (6X to 60X) Projection Lens	Active			Auth. in 1965. In early stages.
234	MTF Exposure Device	Rejected			
235	Automation Program Study	Rejected			
236	Film Disposal Rewind Unit	Rejected			
237	Briefing Aids	Active			High cost
238	Equipment Installation	Active			Installation cost of processors under PARs 214 and 215
239	Administration	Active			Administrative charges through 10 Aug. 1965.

10 August 1965

	·	
	SUMMARY OF CONTRACT	25X1
25X1	Began 20 May 1963; to end 30 June 1964 unless renewed.	
	Fixed fee:	
25 <u>X</u> 1	Cost of Performance:	
25X1	was to provide development support for:	
	a. Improved methods	
	b. Exploit information gained from techniques and equipment	
25X1	was to pursue developmental activities in:	
	a. Viewing equipment	
	b. Projection techniques	
	c. Color enlarging	
	d. Data handling	
	e. Image enhancement	
	f. Clean room techniques	
	g. Light sources	
	h. Mensuration techniques	
	Monthly progress reports were required	25X1
	Objective: To continue investigation of new methods or devices which	
	would further the state-of-the-art for extraction of collected information	
	from photographic materials. (Investigate, study, test and fabricate.)	

10 August 1965

TECHNICAL AREAS

25X1

PAR numbers have been listed under each area as stated in the contract.

#### Viewing Equipment

209, 223, 227, 228, 229

#### Projection Techniques

202, 205, 218, 219, 224, 233

#### Color Enlarging

230, 231

#### Data Handling

235

#### Image Enhancement

208, 211

#### Clean Room Techniques

#### Light Sources

216, 217

#### Mensuration Techniques

226, 232

#### Other (Contact Printers, Processors, Training, etc.)

203, 204, 206, 207, 210, 211, 213, 214, 215, 220, 221, 222, 225, 234, 236

Approved For Release 2005 122 REA-RDP78B04770A001000030054-0

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

### SECRET

28 Mry 65

#### DISCUSSION

4. PAR Status: A complete index listing of PARs, titles (condensed in some cases) and status is included for quick reference:

PAR	Title	Status
201	Travel and Liaison	Active
202	Briefing Print Enlarger	Active
203	Fapid Access Printer	Active
204	Contact Chip Printer	Termination Rpt completed 27 Jan 65
205	Precision 4X Enlarger	Termination Rpt completed 27 Jan 65
206	Reversal Processing Study	Active
207	Contact Printer Study	Active
208	Non-Elec. Image Enhancement	Cancelled
209	Phosphor Viewer	Cancelled
210	Laminated Slides	Completed 4 Sept 64
211	Frocessing Effects Study	Active*
212	Color Acq. System Review	Active
2113	Color Reprod. Review	Active
21	Fernisal Processor	Active
7 - m	24-Inch Paper Processor	Active
- 1 t	Laser Photographic Exposure	Complete 12 Feb 65
	Optimization of Lasers	Active
	Autofocus Systems	Not to be submitted
2.9	Opt. vs Contact Ptg. 1:1	Not to be submitted
220	Static Elec. Hold-Down	Disapproved by Customer

\*PAR 211, Supplements Nos. 1, 2, and 3 disapproved per customer letter 19 Apr 65
All work has been stopped pending customer authorization to proceed with final rpt

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING
Approved For Release 2005/11/21 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001080939055410CATION

their present status:

28 May 65

DOWNGRAD...C

		·		
PAR	Title	Status		
221	Lens Bench Manual	Not to be submitted		
222	Auto Stereo Regstrn System	Completed 3 Mar 65		
223	Monochr. Lens System	Disapproved/msg 4247, 9 Apr 65		
224	3X - 15X Enlarger	Active		
225	Micro-D Training Program	Terminated/msg 4690, 4 June 65**		
		•		
226	Edge Trace Meas., Micro-D	Complete		
227	Color Viewer	Contractor Preparing		
228	Vectograph Study	Not to be submitted		
229	Optical Design Film Viewer	Not to be submitted		
230	10X Color Lens	Disapproved/msg 4494, 10 May 65		
231	10-20-40X Color Lamphouse	Disapproved/msg 4494, 10 May 65		
232	Automated Edge Trace Device	Disapproved/msg 4042, 12 Mar 65		
233	Zoom (6X to 60X) Projection Lens	Approved/msg 4493, 10 May 65		
234	MTF Exposure Device	Disapproved/msg 4266, 13 Apr 65		
235	Automation Program Study	Disapproved/msg 4288, 15 Apr 65		
236	Film Disposal Rewind Unit	Disapproved/msg 4289, 15 Apr 65		
237	Briefing Aids	Approved/msg 4563, 18 May 65		
238	Equipment Installation	Approved/msg 4592, 20 May 65		
£39	Administration '	Active		
	5. Active PAR summary progress d:	iscussions are included in Section II		
(PAR Progress).				
	6. Submission of PAR 206, Reverse	al Processing of High Resolution Firm		
Study, final report on 19 May 65 constituted project completion.				

7. PARs prepared and submitted during the third quarter. FY-65 and

\*\*Action accomplished after report period but included to reflect

Approved For Release 2005/11/21 : CIA-RDP78B0477<del>0A001000030</del>054-0

### SECRET

- a. PAR 234, MTF Exposure Device, submitted 25 Mar 65. disapproved by austomer message 4266, 13 Apr 65.
- b. PAR 235, Automation Program Study, submitted 7 Apr 65, disapproved by customer message 4288, 15 Apr 65.
- c. PAR 236, Film Disposal Rewind Unit, submitted 15 Jan 65, disapprov ed by customer message 4289, 15 Apr 65.
- d. PAR 237, Briefing Aids, submitted 7 May 65, approved by customer message 4563, 18 May 65.
- e. PAR 238, Equipment Installation, submitted 10 May 65, approved by customer message 4592, 20 May 65.
- f. PAR 239, Administration, FY-65 and FY-66, cost estimates submitted 25 May 65, approved by customer message 4692, 4 June 65\*\*.
- g. PAR 201, Travel and Liaison, FY-65 and FY-66, cost esimates submitted 25 May 65, approved by customer message 4691, 4 June 65\*\*.
- 8. By customer message 4690, dated 4 June 65, the contractor was direction to terminate all effort on PAR 225, Microdensitometer Training Program. port, interim or otherwise is required\*\*.



<sup>\*\*</sup>Action accomplished after report period but included to reflect latest status.

10 August 1905

#### EKC SUBJECTS NOMINATED AS PARS - FY 1966

	1.	Rapid Access Printer
		Comment: P&DS is not interested in this proposal based upon
		results of PAR 203. We would be interested in seeing
25X1		definitive proposal of an access printer, however.
	2.	Reproduction System Distortion Study (connected with PAR 207).
	3.	Moire Pattern Technique Study
		Comment: Both of these are associated subjects. We believe
		the use of the screen would be unhandy in the field.
		At present we believe we have most of the data on hand
25X1		would turn up in these studies.
	4.	Stereo Projection Study
	5.	Stereo Registration Device
		Comment: We are not interested in these proposals 25X1
	6.	Briefing Print Enlarger Prototype (PAR 202)
	7.	Fluid Gate Enlarger Prototype (PAR 224)
		Comment: These are both follow-on projects. Our interest is
		to complete these projects under terminal funds of
25X1		

### SECRET

	8.	Study Use of H	olograms in Reproduction and/or Viewing.	
		Comment:	We have heard of this proposal before. We	25X1
			should drop it, judging from past experience.	
	9.	Study of Mensu	ration Problems Near the Limit of Resolution.	\$3 6 6 6 6 7
		Comment:	As it stands, this proposal is too vague. It could	•
			be interesting. Does mean edge-response of the	25X1
÷			photo system? If so, what is their proposal? There	
. ·			are many people studying this very problem:	25X1

25X1

## SECRET

OLUI\[ ]
Approved For Release 2005/11/21 : CIA-RDP78B04770A00100003

	OSA-2914-65 Copy 5 of 7
	Contract Amendment No. 2
25X1 [	3 0 JUN 1965
L	Gentlemen:
25X1	1. This document constitutes Amendment No. 2 to Contract between and the United States of America.
	2. In accordance with the clause of this contract entitled, "CHANGES", and mutual agreement between the parties hereto, it is in the interest of the Government to amend the contract as follows:
	(a) The statement of work, level of effort and other terms and conditions set forth in Exhibit "A" to the contract schedule are hereby extended for the period 1 July 1965 through 30 June 1966.
-	(b) In PART II, PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE, of the contract schedule, the expiration date of the contract is extended to 30 June 1966.
	3. All other terms, conditions and requirements of this contract, as amended, remain unchanged.
	4. Please indicate your receipt and acceptance of this Amendment No. 2 to Contract No by executing the original 25X1 and two copies hereof. Return the fully executed original and one copy to the undersigned and retain the remaining copy for your files.
1	Very truly yours,
	25X1
25X1	ACKNOWLEDGED AND ACCEPTED
	Approved For Release 2005/11/21 CIA-RDP78B04770A001000030054-0

ACKA Poro Ged For	Retease 2005/11/2	DATE SENT CIA-REDR7860477040010000	30054
	DESCRIPTION OF D	OCUMENT (S) SENT	
DOCUMENT NBR.	COPIES	ATTACHMENT(S)	
75A-2914-6	s cy 4		Ė
SIGNATURE (ackno	wledging receipt o	f above document(s))	

3-E-C-R-X-T	·
	R
	<b>.</b>
	Accomplisation of the Control of the
SUBJECT: CONTRACT PAR 211	
REFERENCE	
AND DE TRANSPORT	
DISCONTINUE ANY PURTHER STUDIES ON PAR 21	
BRIEFING AIDS DISCUSSED IN OUR LETTER OF	
ERIEFING AIDS DISCUSSED IN OUR LETTER OF FURNISHED AT A COST NOT TO EXCEED	19 APRIL 1965 ARE TO BE FOR THE BOARDS AND
	FOR THE BOARDS AND
FURNISHED AT A COST NOT TO EXCESD	FOR THE BOARDS AND
FURNISHED AT A COST NOT TO EXCESD	FOR THE BOARDS AND
FURNISHED AT A COST NOT TO EXCESD	FOR THE BOARDS AND
FURNISHED AT A COST NOT TO EXCESD	FOR THE BOARDS AND
FURNISHED AT A COST NOT TO EXCESD	FOR THE BOARDS AND
FURNISHED AT A COST NOT TO EXCESD	FOR THE BOARDS AND
FURNISHED AT A COST NOT TO EXCESD	FOR THE BOARDS AND